Who Cuts the Border? Some Readings on America

For Roberto and Maddie Marquez

The January 10, 1891 edition of La Revista Illustrada carried the initial publication of José Martí's celebrated essay, "Our America." Later, almost exactly a year to the day, "El Partido Revolucionario Cubano"-the Cuban Revolutionary Party-was created, with Martí acknowledged as "its leading spirit, inspirer, and organizer."2 The compressed background of historical events that Philip Foner provides in the Introduction to the second of four English-language editions of Martí's writings3 reacquaints readers in the United States with a larger-than-life romantic instance, whose initiating moments date back to one's childhood and its ephemeral encounters with symptoms of the heroic: Simón Bolivar, Father Hidalgo, and "the cry of Dolores," alongside Martí, are entailed with the same fabric of cultural memory and a curiously elided time-space continuum that threads the name of A. Philip Randolph with the successes of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters and the heady political maneuvers of Adam Clayton Powell Jr. It remains, then, a matter of surprise that, even as one eventually grasps the reasons why, Marti's "our" and "America" do not usually embrace US at all-except by the logic of a clearly defined dualism of antagonists, who, in the febrile imagination of his writings, must contend, in effect, for the right to name and claim "America." As Foner observes, that vast stretch of formidably organized political power, ninety miles north of the island and nation of Cuba, demarcates, for Martí that "other America," neither "his America," nor "Mother America" of Martí's dream of wholeness.4 About seventy years following the writing of the lectures that comprise The Philosophy of History,5 G. W. F. Hegel himself might have been offered occasion for surprise at the exemplary boldness of one José Martí:

The European university must bow to the American university. The history of America, from the Incas to the present must be taught in history of America, from the letter, even if the archons of Greece are overclear detail and to the letter, even if the archons of Greece which is not looked. Our Greece must take priority over the Greece which is not looked. Our Greece must take priority over the Greece which is not looked. We need it more. Nationalist statesmen must replace foreign ours. We need it more. Nationalist statesmen must replace foreign statesmen. Let the world be grafted onto our republics, but the trunk must be our own. And let the vanquished pedant hold his tongue, for there are not lands in which a man may take greater pride than in our long-suffering American republics. (88)

Interestingly enough, Martí and Hegel, inhabiting either end of the nineteenth century, posited, for radically different reasons and toward radically different and reversed ends, two contrastive "Americas." For Martí, as the excerpted passage suggests, "Our America," of indigenous historical currents, fires, on the one hand, the profoundly figurative polemic of his revolutionary moment and impulse, those "long suffering American republics, raised up from among the silent Indian masses by the bleeding arms of a hundred apostles, to the sounds of battle between the book and the processional candle" (86). On the other hand, the "other America," the United States, "this avaricious neighbor who admittedly has designs on us," arouses Martí to the visionary urgencies of an Armageddon. Perhaps the longest syntactic chain in "Our America" throws forth immitigable linking between "since/then," post hoc, ergo propter hoc, embedded in oppositional ground:

And since strong nations, self-made by law and shotgun, love strong nations, and them alone; since the time of madness and ambition—from which North America may be freed by the predominance of the purest elements in its blood, or on which it may be launched by its vindictive and sordid masses, its tradition of expansion, or the ambitions of some powerful leader . . . since its good name as a republic in the eyes of the world's perceptive nations puts upon North America a restraint that cannot be taken away by childish provocations or pompous arrogance or parricidal discords among our American nations—the pressing need of Our America is to show itself as it is, one in spirit and intent. (93)

Though far too schematic, one learns, to exhaust, or even adequately account for, the range of Marti's thought, his rhetorical binary, nonetheless, subtends one of the chief critical functions of his solidary political and intellectual engagement.

Marti's expressly dramatic protocol of pronouns is neither more not less presumptuous than Hegel's implied one, which also distinguishes

"America," New World, from the "United States of North America, but an emanation from Europe" (82). Hegel's "other America" "has always shown itself physically and psychically powerless, and still shows itself so" (81). These aboriginal societies "gradually vanished at the breath of European activity" (81); we needn't add that such "breath" ferociously animated the winds of multiple violence—epistemic, linguistic, iconographic, genocidal, Hegel's European-emanated United States, with its "republican constitution," its Christian sects of Protestant enthusiasm, its "universal protection for property" belongs, finally and dismissively, for Hegel, to an American "future," "where, in the ages that lie before us, the burden of the World's History shall reveal itself—perhaps in a contest between North and South America" (86).

The Hegelian "perhaps" is borne out, amazingly so, in hemispheric wars of national liberation, so far, including Cuba (in its First and Second Wars of Independence) and Cuba again, under the successful insurgency of Fidel Castro, shortly past the mid-point of the twentieth century. No one can fail to read current affairs in Ibero-Hispanic America and the Caribbean—from Sandinista Nicaragua, to post-Noriega Panama—outside an ironized perspective on this "future" and the culture texts inscribed and unfolding about it.

Ensnared, then, between Old World and New, past and future, the contrary ideas of "America" instantiate the text and the materiality on a historico-cultural ground long fabled and discursive in acts of European invention and intervention. It is as if the Word, for Europe, engenders Flesh. Peter Hulme argues, for example, that the discourse of English colonialism arises fundamentally on the career of two key terms-"hurricanes" and "Caribbees"-that mark relatively new lexemes in the English language. "Not found before the middle of the sixteenth century," these terms do not settle into "their present forms before the latter half of the seventeenth."8 Both originate in Native American languages, and "both were quickly adopted into all the major European languages" (58). Raymond Williams's Keywords does not carry entries on either term, but it is rather startling that as innocuous as they might appear in the lexicon, "hurricanes" and "Caribbees/Caribbean," especially the latter, have achieved keyword status over a significant spate of modern intellectual history. Any subsequent addenda that we might devise on Williams's project concerning the evolution of terministic cruxes "in the West" might well inaugurate around "Caribbean" and its own emanations.

Contemporary Cuban intellectual, Roberto Fernández Retamar—poet, essayist, and distinguished editor of Casa de las Americas—offers, in his clas-

sic essay, a highly informative synthesis of the history of related terms sic essay, a highly informative synthesis of the history of related terms. sic essay, a highly informative, "As background and framework that sity, "Caribbean/Caribbees/Caliban." As background and framework that sity, "Caribbean/Caribbees/California "Our America," this rich congruence of ate Retamar's reading of culture in "Our America," this rich congruence of ate Retamar's reading of current at Retamar's reading of current terms is biographically reinscribed in the exemplary instance of Mani's terms is biographically reinscribed in the exemplary instance of Mani's terms is biographically remains and tracks "Caribbean" from its eponymous public life and career. Retamar tracks "Caribbean" from its eponymous public life and career. Research the system of Native Americans, who, we are told, valiantly resisted Carib community of Native Americans, who, we are told, valiantly resisted Carib community of real resisted European incursion in the sixteenth century, to its philosophical and ter. European incursion in the contemporary per ministic transformations, by way of "Caliban," in the contemporary per ministic transformations, by way of Aimé Césaire. Escape to the works of Aimé Césaire. ministic transformation, per to the works of Aimé Césaire, Frantz Fanon, riod, with specific reference to the works of Aimé Césaire, Frantz Fanon, riod, with specific received and of modern of phantasmagoria that perfectly mir. and O. Mannotti.

To a media cross-dressing—the dream as visual cross the Freudian formula of media cross-dressing—the dream as visual rors the Freudian to day's grammar events—"Carib" is translated as a "detransliteration of the day of the day of the formation" and "defamation" into "cannibal." The latter generates an anagram in "Caliban," as Shakespeare had already made use of "cannibal" to mean anthropophagus "in the third part of Henry IV and Othello" (11).

This nested semiotic filiation, inaugurated by a reputed "look," retailed as truth to Christopher Columbus, will stage a paradigm of discursive and scopophilic behavior for colonizing and enslaving powers toward "peoples of color," and most dramatically in its duration, for Hegel's "Negro" of sub-Saharan "Africa," which demonstrates "no historical part of the world," it was said; " . . . has no movement or development to exhibit," it was concluded (99). For Columbus's reporters (and Hegel), anthropophagi reside on that border between nature and culture, inhabited by "men with one eye and others with dog's muzzles, who ate human beings."11

Would we dare, then, risk a simplistic and essentialist reduction? "Someone," perhaps, saw "something" or "someone" in a stage of cultural production long before Columbus came,12 and even now with pure revisionary heart-work and devotion to the politics of the plural, we cannot decipher exactly what it was. Having few corrective narratives to counterpoise, the future-laden actor reenacts an analogy on a child's game: a sentence is passed along a spatial sequence, person to person, and at the end of it the garbled "message" makes only comic sense. Just so (or almost), we will not know now, since the first speakers, either way, are not available. By the time Shakespeare sifts "cannibal" through the sieve of his imagination, it is an already inspissated narrative of plenitude, crystallized in the sixteenth century by tury by, among other sources, Thomas More's Utopia (1580) and Michel de Montaigne's "De la regione's Montaigne's "De los Caníbales" (1580); the translation of Montaigne's work by way of Caníbales (1580); the translation of Montaigne's work by way of Giovanni Floro's Essays was available to Shakespeare, Retaining of the Marian Retaining the Retaini

The construction and invention of "America," then—a dizzying con-

323

coction of writing and reportage, lying and "signifying," jokes, "tall tales," and transgenerational nightmare, all conflated under the banner of Our Lord—exemplify, for all intents and purposes, the oldest game of trompe de l'oeil, the perhaps-mistaken-glance-of-the-eye, that certain European powers carried out regarding indigenous Americans. *Misprision*, therefore, constitutes law and rule of "Our America" in its "beginnings" for Europe. Made up in the gaze of Europe, "America" was as much a "discovery" on the retinal surface as it was the appropriation of land and historical subjects.

From what angle does one insert the "United States but-an-emanation from Europe" into this picture, or perhaps, more ambitiously, a series of perpendicular pronouns—the "I's"/"eyes" of writings on the New World?

At least one thing is doubtless: At whatever point one cuts into this early modern discourse on what will become, quite by accident, by arbitrary design, by the most complicated means of economic (and otherwise) exchange, and the entire repertoire of genetic play and chance, her space, his space, of central habitation in the unimagined "future" of World History, the initial news is hardly good for anyone. "Physically and psychically powerless" and overcome by men who eat (the) other(s), this orientalized, Europe-fabled "America" could not be salvaged by even the hippest stunts of the televisual medium, except that a Martí, for one, will reclaim it as a necessary project of historical demolition and reconstruction. But the United States, carved out of this New World ground, must be read, just as it is intimately connected, with this unfolding historical text of unpromise. The seams will show now, but that is also part of the picture. This ground is broken-by culture and "race," language and ethnicity, weather and land formation, in generative and historical time, as more or less gendered "situation-specificities,"13 in various postures of loves and hungers, cohabit it—even though, given any point at which the multiple "I"/"We" are positioned on its axes, it appears to be monolithic ground. Retamar, pursuing the implications of Marti's "mestizo America," identifies as the "distinctive sign of our culture," those "descendants both ethnically and culturally speaking, of aborigines, Africans, and Europeans" (9). He goes on to interrogate, in rhetorical accents sometime reminiscent of Martí's own writings: "From Túpac Amaru . . . to Nicolás Guillén, to Aimé Césaire, to Violeta Parra, to Frantz Fanon-what is our history, what is our culture, if not the history and culture of Caliban?" (24).

It seems clear that at great expense to the national "pursuit of happiness," a United States culture text/praxis, in the dreamful flattening out of textures of the historical, would repress its calibanesque potential, just as we would amend Retamar's strategy of evocation to account for at least one

other strand of the "sixties without apology." ¹⁴ If, for instance, Bartolomeo other strand of the "sixtles with the other strand of the other stra Las Casas and José Marti todal. Baumfree, become "Sojourner Truth," and beration, then certainly Isabella Baumfree, become "Sojourner Truth," and beration, then certainly Malik, and Martin Luther King Jr., amo beration, then certainly Ballik, and Martin Luther King Jr., among oth. Rosa Parks, Malcolm El-Hajj Malik, and greater distance. The probability of the probability o Rosa Parks, Malcolm El-Tully allong oth. Retamar's at no greater distance. The problem of ers, must sound through Retamar's mistake this, as the late sixties to ers, must sound throught the problem of the pronouns—and we mustn't mistake this, as the late sixties taught—the pronouns—and we mustn't mistake this, as the late sixties taught—the pronouns—and we mustn't mistake this, as the late sixties taught the pronouns—and we have the pronounce of the pronounce cannot, will not resort then by virtue of what set of moves then by virtue of what set of moves. brace among nemaphotos, then by virtue of what set of moves is the no.
"Caliban" as a joining figure, then by virtue of what set of moves is the no. entailment of operations out of which certain US communities express reentailment of Operations for States and Idea of States are lidea for the homoge. neous narrative that the United States, as an idea-form, or that "other neous narrative and Marti's, or Retamar's view, or even George Bush Sr.'s view, the contradictions of proximity must be brought further out: Some of US render unto Caesar, more or less, is not simply locutional.

Apparently everywhere one might look on this massive scene of heterogeneous historical attitudes, it seems that "Caliban" designates a copulative potential by way of the Atlantic system of slavery—the ownership of man by man (Virginia's "chattels personal," say), man's ownership of "private property" (Cuba's seigneurial ownership of the sugar product, say), and the captive communities' occasional revolt in the teeth of it (Canada's Caribbean marronage, for example). In the sociopolitical arrangements here stipulated, "man," wherever he appears in the bargain, articulates with juridical, axiomatic, historical, ontological, and local specificity. "America," with its US, locates a prime time of "the fruits of merchant capital" 15 as a stunning chapter in the modern history of patriarchal law and will. In other words, America/US shows itself as a "scene of instruction" in the objectifying human possibility across an incredibly various real estate and human being. This vulgar oxymoron of purposes and motivations insists on the combo-human-as-property-and there, in all the astonishing foreclosures of certainty, "English," among other Indo-European languages, enters its currency in the "execrable trade."

It would seem highhanded, then, to read this Real as a discourse, but certainly the conceptual narratives around "cannibal"/"Caliban"—a colonial topos, compressiones around "cannibal"/"Caliban"—a colonial topos, common to the seventeenth century, Hulme argues—projects slavery "as the necessary "(62) "Calery "as the necessary stage between savagery and civilization" (62). "Caliban" designates itself. iban" designates itself a moment of convergence between Old World and New, inasmuch as the interpretation of both the New, inasmuch as the idea-formation demonstrates "features of both the Mediterranean wild manufactures of both the mountain mother. Mediterranean wild man, or classical monster . . . with an African mother

325

whose pedigree leads back to Book X of *The Odyssey*" (70). Further, Caliban, as the issue of Sycorax, ¹⁶ entertains "particular connection with the moon . . . whose signs the Caribs could read" (70). Need we be reminded here of the "intersections of blood and the moon, the mother and home: towards that terrain which traditionally has been given and denied the name of 'woman'"?¹⁷

When Martí invokes "Mother America," one imagines that he means the formulation of "mother" in relation to nurture and security, but the term might also mark, under the precise historical circumstance upon which his vision of "America" is raised, the silence bred by defeat. If Columbus's Diaries, compounded of report, offered the explorer a useful fiction for entering New World communities, then that available discourse evinces a remarkable instance of "rhetorical enargeia," which Patricia Parker describes as "convincing description or vivid report, [containing] within it the same visual root as the name of 'Argus,' sent with his many eyes to spy."18 The Columbian reporters, for example, were not only providing "promotional narratives," but "a 'blazing,' or publishing of the glories of this feminized New World, of the possibilities of commercial abundance and 'return'" (141, my emphasis). Perceiving a link here between language and spectacle, Parker speaks of discursive inventiveness as a "transgressive uncovering, or opening up of a secret place, of exposing what was hidden in the womb of a feminized Nature" (142). These "ocular proofs," giving rise to discursive elaboration, as we have observed in the Caliban/Caribbean/ cannibal semiosis, yoke the gaze and the profit in a rhetorics of property (147).

The inventory of both the American land and the figure of Caliban—"ugly, hostile, ignorant, devilish"—inscribes a "rhetorical and an economic instrument, one way of controlling the territory in question" (150). Even though Sycorax is given no script in *The Tempest*, as we recall, her "absence," except in comminatory provocation, confirms the "unrepresentability" of Caliban, the mothered-womaned, to a spectator-audience. A not-sayable offers a strategy for describing the "future," which is always a pregnant possibility in the now.

Hulme describes the locus of *The Tempest* as an "extraordinary topographical dualism" because of its "double series of connotations"—the Mediterranean and the Caribbean. This scene of double inscription is borne out further in "tempest" itself, from the Mediterranean repertoire, and Gonzolo's "plantation," from the Atlantic repertoire (71). But practically speaking, beyond the "rarified latitudes" of Shakespeare's art, the "discovery of America" may be read as "a magnetic pole compelling a reorientation

of traditional axes." Superimposing two planes—a palimpsest, "on which there are two texts" (72), "America" juxtaposes "two referential systems" there are two texts" (72), "America" juxtaposes "two referential systems" that inhabit "different spaces except for that area which is the island [nej. that inhabit "different spaces except for that area which is the island [nej. that inhabit "different spaces except for that area which is the island [nej. that inhabit "different spaces except for that area which is had "controlled and its first native Caliban" (72). As a "geometrical there here nor there] and its first native Caliban" (72). Caliban inscribes an swivel free of one another." As a "textual metaphor," Caliban inscribes an swivel free of one another." As a "textual metaphor," Caliban inscribes an "overdetermination," "peculiarly at odds with his place of habitation "overdetermination," "peculiarly at odds with his place of habitation which is described as an 'uninhabitable island'" (72). Caliban translates the "monstrous" in his mediating posture "between two sets of connotations" "monstrous" in his mediating posture "between two sets of connotations" and a "compromise formation . . . achieved . . . only at the expense of distortion elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere" (72). Precisely metaphorical in the collapse of distinction elsewhere (72).

Or is it the bonds, the bonds of representation? William Faulkner's Luster, the grandson of his "enduring" Dilsey,20 tries to recall to young Quentin Compson, his proximate age-mate, the name of the wild male child now installed in the shadows of "Sutpen's Hundred"21 and decides that his not-soready-to-hand last name exemplifies a "lawyer's word": "what they puts you under when the Law catches you" (215). Inflected from "Bon," by way of his paternity in Charles Etienne de Saint Valery Bon and a maternity situated by Faulkner's narrator as a "gorilla" of a woman, "Jim Bond" stands free, if not emancipated, in his US/African/European/Americanity as an embodied instance of the "ferocious play of alphabets,"22 but not unlike Caliban, "he" also marks a would-be place, or a "geometrical metaphor" on the verge of being in an American wilderness-fictitious Jefferson, Mississippi (trapped in a once dark pastoral frame) after the "fall" of the South. Verging on past and future, Jim Bond, a live-wire instance of the law's most persistent social invention, assumes the status of deictic, of nonverbal marker, here and there, this and that, as the conventions of discourse out of which he arises proffer him no claim to a "present/presence," except as the unkinned "monster," feared and despised, from Caliban, to Bigger Thomas. Though I am suggesting here a narrative of filiations across a broad swatch of Western discourse, there are, admittedly, considerable differences between these "impression-points": If we accept the argument of Hulme and others that Caliban describes sheer and fateful discursivity that evades the trammels of representation, then what must we make of a figure like Jim

Bond whose representability prescribes and provokes all that he is?

Both cultural vestibularity and an after-word, "America/US," from Caliban's perspective and that of his diverse relations, must come upon Language and the Law (and in a sense, they overlap the same item from the

Black, White, and in Color

ESSAYS ON

AMERICAN LITERATURE

AND CULTURE

Hortense J. Spillers

The University of Chicago Free Chicago and Lumber Hortense J. Spillers is the Frederick J. Whiton Professor of English at Cornell University. She is the editor of Comparative American Identities: Race, Sex, and Nationality in the Modern Text and coeditor of Conjuring: Black Women, Fiction, and Literary Tradition.

The University of Chicago Press, Chicago 60637
The University of Chicago Press, Ltd., London
© 2003 by The University of Chicago
All rights reserved. Published 2003
Printed in the United States of America
12 11 10 09 08 07 06 05 04 03 5 4 3 2 1

ISBN (cloth): 0-226-76979-8 ISBN (paper): 0-226-76980-1

Credits for prior publication are included with the notes to individual chapters.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Spillers, Hortense J.

Black, white, and in color: essays on American literature and culture / Hortense J. Spillers. p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-226-76979-8 (cloth) - ISBN 0-226-76980-1 (pbk.)

- American literature—African American authors—History and criticism.
 American literature—20th century—History and criticism.
 African Americans in literature.
- 4. Human skin color in literature. 5. Race relations in literature. 6. Whites in literature.
- 7. Racism in literature. 8. Race in literature. 1. Title. PS153.NS S67 2003 810.9'896073'00904—dc21

2002014268

©The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI 239,48-1992.